

Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

A MODERN MOHEGAN-PEQUOT TEXT

By FRANK G. SPECK

The following text is in the dialect of the Mohegan-Pequots, a New England branch of the great Algonquian linguistic stock. The dialect was originally spoken by the Pequots, who, after migrating about the year 1600 from the upper Hudson River country, inhabited that portion of Connecticut lying between Connecticut river on the west, the Pawcatuck on the east, Long Island sound on the south, and the Nipmuck country on the north. The Mohegans, however, a mutinous offshoot of the Pequots, formed under Uncas a separate band about the year 1640, retaining nevertheless their maternal Pequot tongue. Outside linguistic influences are noticeable, too, in some loan-words, but the dialect is practically identical with that of the Pequots of long ago. Today the modern Mohegan-Pequots number fewer than one hundred, their principal settlement being near Norwich, Conn.

Of these Indians there lives but one who still retains a knowledge of the ancient dialect, namely Fidelia A. H. Fielding, the narrator of the accompanying text. The writer's effort for a number of vears has been to school himself with Mrs Fielding that her dialect and tradition may not pass away with her. It is needless to say that under such conditions of isolation a language must necessarily be found in a state of decay, and that much of the fulness and complexity of Indian grammar has been modified and lost. might further mention that, previously to what has been done by Professor J. Dyneley Prince and myself, nothing has been written in connection with this dialect except a manuscript vocabulary by President Stiles of Yale College, a number of years ago. Consequently shortcomings on my part are due largely to scantiness of material and the decaying condition of the dialect as it survives today.

¹ See "The Modern Pequots and their Language," by J. Dyneley Prince and F. G. Speck, *American Anthropologist*, 1903, vol. 5, No. 2, pp. 193-212.

MOHEGAN-PEQUOT TEXT

- (¹) Inchǔnî'n wî'nai mō'wî ū'nkshâ bîū'mch New Lóndơnŭg. (²) Sū'mî' dū'pkwâ. (³) Gǔtō'wî tǔ'bō jôhō'g? (¹) Nā'wā jŏkwî'ŭn. (⁵) Wôtāiŭ''tǔm bā'kîmŭs dā'bî nǔtū'b nîdā'i yūdǔ'pkwǔg. (⁶) Nǔmō'wî tî ! tî ! skwǔ'ndôg. (¹) Owā'nǔks squâ bî'yâ yūnjō'nǔm skwǔnd. (⁶) Nǔnŭnā'wâ. (⁶) Î'wā gǔsǔgwî'sh, wî'chū. (¹⁰) Nǔ'î'wā dā'bî nǔtǔ'b yūdaí yǔdǔ'pkwǔg? (¹¹) Owā'nǔks squâ î'wā, nái! mǔd gǔyū'ndǔm, nǔmî'cî tǔ'kǔnîg dâ jîshs, dā'bî gǔmî'tchiǔn? (¹²) Mǔd nǔyū'ndǔmî' yūdǔ'pkwǔg, mǔs nǔmî'jūnî wômbū'nsîŏñ. (¹³) Wŏ'nǔks squâ î'wā, chǔ'nchî mǔd gǔ'î'wā gǔnā'wānî yūdaí.
- (14) Ŭndaī' nupō'num numunū'dî, dâg undaī' nuzumu'ksun. (15) Nugāwî'. (16) Yumbō'wî nugutu'mkî. (17) Mudjô'g jökwî'un. (18) Jo'naū gu'nkchî sun, undai nukō'nu'm nutu'kunîg dâ jîshs gu'nkchî kaiyau gî'tus mî'guchīd dâ'ku wombā'iyô skun. Dî'bîug!

Translation

An old Indian woman goes to sell brooms at New London (Conn.). It becomes very dark. Where is she going to stay? She sees a house. She thinks, "Perhaps I can stay there tonight." I go rap! rap! on the door. A white woman comes and opens the door. I know her. She says, "Come in"; she smiles. I say, "Can I stay here tonight?" The white woman says, "Yes! Are you not hungry? I made some bread and cheese, can you eat some?" "I am not hungry tonight. I will eat if I live in the morning." The white woman says, "You must not say that you saw me here." (She did not wish it to be known that she was a witch.)

Then I put down my back-basket, and then I lie down. I go to sleep. Early I arise. There is nothing (to be seen) of the house; it is all a great stone. Then I find my bread and cheese (to be) a great cold piece of cattle dung and a white bone. Horrors!

Analysis

1. Inchunî'n wî' nai mō' wî ū' nkshâ bîômch New Lūndonug.

Inchuni'n — English loan-word for Indian + în man (pl. înug). So înski'dumbāk, concrete for Indians (Lat. viri) or 'true men.'

wi'nai—radical for 'woman,' containing stem in, often appearing as winais, with contracted suffix $kchais\bar{u}$ to be old, hence 'old woman.'

 $m\bar{o}'w\hat{i}$ — modal particle, denoting future and motion toward, from stem m to go, with probable 3d pers. element w and i modal. Also seen

in nugutawi gř'shtūtůsh I am going to wash. The common indicative future particle is mùs. mō'wî seems also to have the idea of purpose.

 $\bar{u}'nksh\hat{a}$ — she sells, 3d pers. sing. trans. with inanimate objective wanting. $\bar{u}'nksh\hat{a}$ ought to show coördination with $m\bar{o}'w\hat{\imath}$.

 $bi\bar{u}'mch$ — Indianized English for 'brooms,' with usual inan. pl. ending ch, as $s\bar{u}n$ stone, $s\bar{u}nch$ stones. In all such transmutations Mohegans pronounce n or y for r; e. g., $y\bar{a}tsh$ rat.

New Lôndonug—ug is nominal locative suffix meaning at, in, on. Said to be from u'ki, earth, ground.

2. Sū'mî' dŭ'pkwâ.

 $s\bar{u}'m\hat{i}'$ — superlative substantive 'too much.' Final \hat{i} is 3d pers. inan. impers., seen also in other adverbial ideas, viz., $mich\hat{i}'m\hat{i}$ always (lit., 'it is always'), $m't\bar{a}'w\hat{i}$ much, $ch\bar{u}nch\bar{u}ch\hat{i}'$ only a little, etc. $s\bar{u}'m\hat{i}'$ has usual meaning 'because.'

dů'pkwâ — substantive, night.

3. Guto'wî tu'bo joho'g?

 $g\check{u}t\check{v}'w\hat{\imath}$ — compounded of t, one of the stems 'to go,' and 3d pers. future modal $\check{v}'w\hat{\imath}$, as above (sentence 1) $m\check{v}'w\hat{\imath}$.

 $t\tilde{u}'b\bar{o}$ — 3d. pers. sing. animate of stem $t\tilde{u}b$ or $d\tilde{u}p$, he stays, sits, exists, remains, etc. The 3d pers. sing. is made in the animate indic. by suffixing \bar{a} , \bar{o} , or \bar{u} to the stem; cf. $g\tilde{i}g\hat{i}t\bar{u}'k\bar{u}$ he speaks, $w\tilde{u}sk\tilde{u}s\bar{u}$ he writes, $n\tilde{u}p\hat{d}'$ he dies, etc.

 $j\partial h\partial' g$ — interr. compounded of $j\partial$ or $ch\partial$, simple interr. particle (as in $ch\bar{a}gw\bar{a}n$ what?) and vocalic connective h + locative suffix ug or ∂g . $j\partial$ also has the significance of an indef. relative, referring to inan. objects.

4. Nā'wâ jŏkwî'ŭn.

 $n\bar{a}'w\bar{a}$ — 3d pers. sing. animate indic. pron. $w\bar{a}$ suffixed to stem $n\bar{a}$, to see, know, understand.

 $j\delta kwi'un$ —'a white man's house,' probably from $j\hat{o}$ in an. indef. relative and form of $wi't\bar{u}$ (?) house.

5. Wôtāiŭ''tum bā'kîmus dā'bî nutu'b nîdā'i yūdu'pkwug.

 $w\partial t \bar{a}i \bar{u}'' t \bar{u}m$ — from stem (composite) $ai \bar{u}' t \bar{u}m$, lit., 'to be minded' (cf. Ojibway *inendam* he thinks), + trans. 3d pers. pron. w prefixed, and connective t. The principal element $\bar{u}'' t \bar{u}m$ is found suffixed to stems of all verbs denoting a state of mind, and some others of a similar nature. See list of such verbs at end of analysis.

 $b\bar{a}'kim\bar{u}s$ —from $b\bar{a}'ki$, a subjunc. verbal; stem b to come, and $m\bar{u}s$, simple future indic. particle. ki is inan. 3d pers. The combination means maybe or perhaps.

dā'bî — an impersonal verb commonly in use denoting can, am able,

but derived from $d\bar{a}p$, distantly meaning it is enough, with \hat{i} in an. 3d pers. pron.

 $n\tilde{u}t\tilde{u}'b$ — 1st pers. sing. pron., with stem $t\tilde{u}b$ (see sentence 3). The full form of pron. is wanting here.

(In considering the connection between these last three verbs I am inclined to think that they should be incorporated into one word, although the narrator keeps them divided: $b\bar{a} - k\hat{\imath} - m\check{\imath} s - d\bar{\imath}b\hat{\imath} - n\check{\imath} s - t\check{\imath}b - (\text{Potential})$ Come—it—will—it may happen, or be (subj.)—I—stay. The simple indicat future $m\check{\imath} s$ invariably precedes its verb, instead of being suffixed to another, as $b\bar{a}'k\hat{\imath}m\check{\imath} s$. The whole phrase, however, seems to be incorrectly construed.)

 $n\hat{i}d\bar{a}'i$ — from $n\hat{i}$, demonstr. that $+d\bar{a}i$ inseparable locative adverbial suffix, so $y\bar{u}'dai$ here, $d\bar{o}'dai$ where (relative).

 $y\bar{u}d\tilde{u}'pkw\tilde{u}g$ — composed of $y\bar{u}$, demonstr. this, prefixed to $d\tilde{u}'pkw\hat{v}$ night, and locative $\tilde{u}g$.

6. Nămở wî tî! tî! skwă ndôg.

 $n\tilde{u}m\tilde{v}'w\hat{i}$ — for $m\tilde{v}'w\hat{i}$ (see sentence 1), $n\tilde{u}$, 1st pers. sing. pron. The forms of this verb are defective throughout.

tî / tî / — exclamatory, 'rap! rap!'

 $skw\bar{u}'nd\hat{o}g - skw\bar{u}nd$ door, locative $\hat{o}g$ on.

7. Owā'nŭks squâ bî'yâ yūnjŏ'nŭm skwŭnd.

owa'nuks — from $\partial wa'$ nug pl. of $\partial wa'$ n animate interr. and relative pron. 'who?' and ablative $\bar{u}tch$ from, which appears mutilated in final s. The term $\partial w\bar{a}'n\bar{u}ks$ came to be used for the whites, illustrating the question in the native mind, "Whence did they come? Who are they?" The word is erroneously supposed by some to have come from the Indian term for "pale-face."

squâ—usual suffix used dependently for female. Cf. Chākū's squâ (Schaghticoke dialect; see Prince and Speck in Proc. Amer. Philos. Soc., vol. XLII, no. 174) negress, squâ'sî's little girl. squâ is said to be derived from i'kwē to split, with infixed s.

 $b\hat{\imath}'y\hat{a}$ — 3d pers. sing. animate of stem $b\hat{\imath}$ to come.

yūnjō'num. — from yūnjā'n open, conjunc. mood, transitive as shown by indef. obj. um. Cf. nuqu'tsh'tum, I taste it.

skwund — see sentence 6.

8. Nănănâ' wâ.

 $n\bar{u}n\bar{u}n\hat{a}'w\hat{a}$ —I know her. Stem $n\bar{u}$ or $n\bar{a}$ to know, with incorporate subject $n\bar{u}$ and object animate $n\hat{a}'w\hat{a}$.

9. Î wā gŭsŭgwi'sh, wî'chū.

 $i'w\bar{a}$ — 3d pers. sing. of stem iw to speak, whence $w\bar{u}t$ mouth; im-

perative form is *i'wāsh*. In all terms denoting parts of the body, local suffixes express the part of the body, as *qūnnū'ng* throat, *qū'ddūng* a swallowing.

 $g\check{u}s\check{u}gw\check{v}'sh$ — formed from stem w to come, with imperative $w\check{i}sh$ or $\hat{i}sh$ modal suffix, and emphatic 2d pers. pron. prefixed, $g\check{u}$. $\check{u}g$ is perhaps locative with connective s.

 $w\hat{i}'ch\bar{u}$ — Independent mood, 3d pers. sing. This verb also shows action of mouth, $w\hat{i}$.

10. Nů'î'wā dā'bî nůtů'b yūdai yūdů'pkwůg?

 $n\tilde{u}'\hat{i}'w\bar{a}$ — For $\hat{i}'w\bar{a}$ (see sentence 9). $n\tilde{u}$, 1st pers. pron. with connective t wanting $(n\tilde{u}t\hat{i}w\bar{a})$.

 $d\bar{a}'b\hat{\imath}$ — see sentence 5.

nŭtŭ'b — see sentence 5.

 $y\bar{u}dai$ — demonstr. $y\bar{u}$ this, with suffix, for which see sentence 5.

yūdŭ'pkwŭg — same as sentence 5.

11. Owā'nuks squâ î'wā, nai! mud guyū'ndum, numî'cî tu'kunîg dâ jîshs, dā'bî gumî'tchîun?

owā'nŭks squâ' — see sentence 7.

 $\hat{i}'w\bar{a}$ — see sentence 9.

 $n\bar{a}'i$ — affirmative yes, possibly a subjunctive. The usual 'yes' monosyllabic is $n\bar{u}k$.

mud—This negative is an invariant particle, expressing all conditions of negation, prohibition, etc. Other forms must formerly have existed for different moods, but they are now obsolete.

gũyũ'ndũm — 2d pers. sing. pron. gũ, and yũ'ndũm hungry, showing suffix dũm state of mind or body. See wôtāiũ'' tũm, sentence 5.

 $n\check{u}m\hat{v}'\hat{c}\hat{i}$ — 1st pers. pron. with subjunc. element probably. I am undecided as to whether the stem is $w\bar{u}'st\check{u}$ he makes, or a stem containing m.

tử/kử nîg — noun, bread, from ptử/kwî it is round, referring to cakes, loaves, whence bread. Final g denotes 'the thing that is.'

 $d\hat{a}$ — coördinate conjunction. There probably existed a discrimination between this form and $d\hat{a}'k\check{u}$, but none is noticeable now.

jîshs — English loan-word with Indian stress, i. e., 'cheese.'

 $d\bar{a}'b\hat{i}$ — see sentence 5.

 $g\check{u}m\hat{i}'tchi\bar{u}n$ — 2d pers. sing. transitive subj. of stem $m\hat{i}tch$ to eat, with incorporate obj. $\bar{u}n$, inan.; so $g\check{u}w\bar{a}'j\tilde{n}um$ you have it.

12. Mud nuyu'ndumî yudu'pkwug, mus numî'junî wômbô'ñsîŏñ.

mŭd — see sentence 10.

 $n\ddot{u}y\ddot{u}'nd\ddot{u}m\hat{i}$ — for $n\ddot{u}y\ddot{u}'nd\ddot{u}m$ see sentence 11; the final \hat{i} or $m\hat{i}$ is the suffixed portion of the negative.

 $m\ddot{u}s \ n\ddot{u}m\ddot{t}'j\ddot{u}n\ddot{t}$ — ist pers. sing. of the future subjunc. $n\ddot{u}$. . . \dot{t} , and stem $m\ddot{t}tch$ with incorporate inan. object $\ddot{u}n$.

 \hat{wombo} nsion—from $\hat{wo'mbo}$ sunrise, or tomorrow, and $\hat{sto'}$ naimate subjunc. 1st pers. 'if I.' A final \hat{i} should be found to complete the subj. pron., but owing to the obscurity with which final vowels are pronounced, its absence is explained. \hat{bun} may more properly be the stem 'to live,' but as this stem is not found now, I cannot be certain of it.

13. Wö'nŭks squâ î'wā, chŭ'nchî mud gū'î'wā gunā'wānî' yūdai.

wā'nŭks squâ — see sentence 7.

 $\hat{i}'w\bar{a}$ — see sentence 9.

chǔ'nchî — impersonal verb from chũ, to want, or to be necessary, and ch, contracted for adjectival $g\ddot{u}'nch\hat{\imath}$ great, always used thus in composition (cf. Ojibwa gitche). The final $\hat{\imath}$ is inan. 3d pers. sing. $ch\ddot{u}'nch\hat{\imath}$ literally means 'it is greatly needed,' hence 'must.'

 $m\ddot{u}d\ g\ddot{u}'\dot{t}'w\ddot{a}$ — another defective verb with 2d pers. sing. pron. and negative element loosely attached to stem $\hat{\iota}w$; see sentence 9.

 $g\check{u}n\bar{a}'w\bar{a}n\hat{i}'$ — stem $n\bar{a}$, for which see sentence 4, in conjunc. mood with preceding $i'w\bar{a}$, having incorporate 2d pers. subject and 1st pers. object, $n\hat{i}$, the 2d pers. subject $g\check{u}$ being prefixed. This precedence of the 2d pers. over the 1st pers. is a common characteristic of nearly all North American languages. In the Tsimshian of the North Pacific coast, where the verb uses different stems for the sing. and the pl., the presence of a 2d pers. pron. influences the construction so much that the sing. or the pl. stem is used according to the number of the 2d pers. pron.

yūdai — see sentence 10.

14. Ŭndai' nŭpë'nŭm nŭmŭnū'dî, dâg ŭndai' nŭzŭmŭ'ksŭn.

 $n\check{u}p\bar{o}'n\check{u}m$ — from stem $p\bar{o}n$, to put, to place, etc., with 1st pers. pron. and incorporate indef. object $\check{u}m$. For similar transitive forms see sentence 7.

 $n\bar{u}m\bar{u}n\bar{u}'d\hat{i}$ — made from $m\bar{u}\bar{u}'nd\bar{u}$ mystery, or $M\bar{u}\bar{u}'nd\bar{u}$ God (cf. Ojibwa, etc., Manitu). Final \hat{i} is inan. noun ending, as $b\hat{i}\bar{o}'t\hat{i}$ plate, etc.; and $n\bar{u}$ 1st pers. sing. pron., the whole meaning 'my basket,' cognate with idea of unknown inan. contents. Indians of the east designate a basket or its contents as objects which betray nothing of their internal character by their outside appearance or shape, hence the psychological analogy with God, or mystery.

dâg ŭndai' — see sentences 11 and 14.

nŭzŭmŭ'ksŭn — composed of zŭ 'from out of'(?) + connective m,

 $+ \tilde{u}k$, locative down, or on; $s\tilde{u}n$ to fall (cf. $d\tilde{u}ks\tilde{u}'n\hat{i}$ I fall down), and 1st pers. pron. $n\tilde{u}$, intrans.

15. Năgāwî'.

 $n\check{u}g\bar{a}w\hat{i}'$ — made from $g\bar{a}'w\hat{i}$, uninflected, 'sleep,' + 1st pers. pron.

16. Yūmbō'wî nŭg'ŭtŭ'mkî.

 $y\bar{u}mb\bar{o}'w\hat{i}$ — contraction of $y\bar{u}$, demonstr. this; $\check{o}'mb\hat{i}$ time, and $w\hat{i}g\check{u}'$ light. Or else final \hat{i} is impers. 3d pers. pron. element; see $d\bar{a}'b\hat{i}$, $ch\check{u}'nch\hat{i}$, sentences 5 and 13.

 $n\ddot{u}g'\ddot{u}t\ddot{u}'mk\hat{i}$ — from $\ddot{o}'mk\hat{i}$ to get up, with g progressive, and 1st pers. pron., the suffixed element being absent, hence intrans.

17. Mŭ'djôg jokwî'ŭn.

 $m\check{u}'dj\hat{o}g$ — negative $m\check{u}d$, $+j\hat{o}g$ in an. relative, elliptical for $j\hat{o}gw\bar{a}'n$ a thing. $m\check{u}'dj\hat{o}gw\bar{a}'n$ 'nothing' also occurs.

jökwî'ŭn — see sentence 4.

18. Jö'nāū gử'nkchî sūn, ŭndaī' nŭkō'nŭ'm nŭtŭ'kŭnîg dâ jîshs gŭ'nkchî kaiyaú gî'tŭs mî'gŭchīd dâ'kŭ wombā'iyô skŭn.

 $j\ddot{o}'n\bar{a}\bar{u}$ — Intensive $j\hat{o}$, inan. indef. with $n\hat{o}$, or $n\bar{a}'g\bar{u}m$, a form of the independent animate 3rd pers. sing. pronoun.

gŭ'nkchî — emphatically protracted form of adjective kchî' great, large. sūn — substantive, inan.; pl. sūnch stones.

ŭndai --- see sentence 14.

 $n\tilde{u}k\tilde{o}'n\tilde{u}m$ — from $k\tilde{u}'n\tilde{a}$ he catches, finds, hunts, etc., 1st pers. sing. trans. indic., with incorporate object $\tilde{u}m$.

nutu' kunig — same as in sentence 11, but with 1st pers. pron. In these nouns with pronoun elements the required subjective and objective sets have been lost.

dâ — see sentence II.

1îshs — see sentence II.

 $kaiya\acute{u}$ — adjective from $t\ddot{i}k\ddot{a}'$ cold, hard, $+y\ddot{u}$, demons. this. This combination of the adjective and a demonstrative is frequent, so $squ\ddot{a}'yau$ red, $w\check{o}mbaiyau$ white, $s\ddot{u}gg\ddot{a}'yau$ black, etc.

gi'tis — possibly a generalization from jits bird, barnyard fowl, and broadly used for any general animal term, hence cattle. The animate pl. gi'tisig is commonly used at Mohegan to designate 'critters.'

 $m\hat{\imath}'g\check{u}ch\bar{\imath}d$ — derived from $m\hat{\imath}'k\hat{\imath}$ hard, strong; ending id or od denotes in an. state of being.

dâ' kŭ — see sentence 11.

wŏmbā iyô — adjective white from wŏ'mbî white; see kaiyaú above. skŭn — inan. substantive, pl. skŭnch.

19. $D\hat{i}'b\hat{i}ug$ — pl. of animate noun $d\hat{i}'b\hat{i}$, from $ch\hat{i}'p\hat{i}$ terrible, awful, bad, whence Devil. Other forms of same occur, as $j\hat{i}bai\hat{o}g$, $t\hat{i}'p\hat{i}ug$, $b\hat{i}'b\hat{i}ug$.

Nouns and verbs are traceable to common radical elements, which take both suffixes and prefixes. Adverbial and pronominal affixes construct them into verbs; substantive (animate or inanimate) and pronominal affixes form them into nouns.

Furthermore, there is very little difference between intransitive verbs and nouns with possessive pronominal formatives, e. g., $n \bar{u} g \bar{a} w \hat{a}'$ I sleep, or my sleep; $n \bar{u} n \bar{u} p \hat{a}'$ I die, or my death.

The list of verbs containing element $\ddot{u}'(t\ddot{u}m)$ or $\ddot{u}'(d\ddot{u}m)$, mentioned in sentence 5, follows:

```
yū'ndŭm to be hungry, or, feel hunger; sîwâ'tŭm to feel sorry.
kū'ngŭtūm to feel thirsty; chŭ'ntūm to feel want.
wî ktŭm to feel love; jôkwā'tŭm to feel haste.
qŭ'tshtūm to feel taste; pŭ'dŭm to feel hearing.
nŭtŭ'ddŭm to find out by asking; mŭddúmâmō to feel badly or sick.
```

For further remarks on Mohegan-Pequot morphology see Prince and Speck, "Glossary of the Mohegan-Pequot Language," *American Anthropologist*, N. S., vol 6, No. 1, pp. 18-21.